HATCHERY EVALUATION REPORT

Kalama Hatchery - Spring Chinook

March 1997

Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT)

HATCHERY EVALUATION REPORT

Kalama Hatchery - Spring Chinook

An Independent Audit Based on Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT) Performance Measures

Prepared by:

Montgomery Watson 2375 130th Avenue NE Suite 200 Bellevue, WA 98005

Prepared for:

U.S. Department of Energy Bonneville Power Administration Environment, Fish and Wildlife P.O. Box 3621 Portland, OR 97208-3621

Project Number 95-2 Contract Number 95AC49468

March 1997

CONTENTS

Section	n 1 Executive Summary1-1
Section	n 2 Facility Description2-1
Section	n 3 Compliance Status
Section	n 4 Remedial Actions4-1
Section	5 Hatchery Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds and Hatcheries5-1
Section	n 6 Annual Operating Expenditures6-1
	List of Tables
Table	
1	Summary Program Information for Kalama Hatchery - Spring Chinook
2	Compliance with Performance Measures: Kalama Hatchery - Spring Chinook
3	Remedial Actions Required at Kalama Hatchery - Spring Chinook
4	Adult Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds and Hatcheries: Kalama Hatchery - Spring Chinook
5	Annual Operating Expenses: Kalama Hatchery - Spring Chinook
6	Annual Operating Expenses - Kalama Hatchery

Executive Summary

This report presents the findings of the independent audit of the Kalama Hatchery - Spring Chinook program. The hatchery is located along the Kalama River at about river mile 10. The nearest town is Kalama, Washington, located approximately 12 miles south of the hatchery. The hatchery is used for adult collection, egg incubation, and rearing of spring chinook, fall chinook, and coho (Type N).

The audit was conducted in 1996-1997 as part of a 2-year effort that will include 67 hatcheries and satellite facilities located on the Columbia and Snake River system in Idaho, Oregon, and Washington. The hatchery operating agencies include the U.S Fish and Wildlife Service, Idaho Department of Fish and Game, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, and Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife.

Background

The audit is being conducted as a requirement of the Northwest Power Planning Council (NPPC) "Strategy for Salmon" and the Columbia River Basin Fish and Wildlife Program. Under the audit, the hatcheries are evaluated against policies and related performance measures developed by the Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT). IHOT is a multi-agency group established by the NPPC to direct the development of new basinwide standards for managing and operating fish hatcheries. The Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) contracted with Montgomery Watson to act as an independent contractor for the audit.

IHOT has established five basic policies that cover: (1) hatchery coordination, (2) hatchery performance standards, (3) fish health, (4) ecological interaction, and (5) genetics. The audit focuses on all these policies, with the exception of hatchery coordination. These policies are set forth in *Policies and Procedures for Columbia Basin Anadromous Salmonid Hatcheries (IHOT 1995)*. That document is the source for the performance measures that are the basis of this audit.

The Audit Process

The audit was based on the facility management's response to a 109-page questionnaire. This audit form was completed through a five-step process in which:

- Information was obtained from headquarters.
- The hatchery manager was asked to fill out and return the audit form.
- A 1-2 day site audit visit was conducted to inspect facilities, review hatchery records, discuss audit form responses, and develop remedial action plans.
- A compliance report was developed to document the compliance status of each performance measure. This report was then shared with the hatchery manager and IHOT representative.
- This hatchery evaluation report was written to document compliance with IHOT performance measures and develop cost estimates for remedial actions when needed.

Kalama Hatchery - Spring Chinook Results

The Kalama facility includes six ponds for adult holding (also used for rearing), 12 concrete raceways, and incubation facilities. The hatchery was authorized under the Mitchell Act and began operation in 1958 as part of the Columbia River Fisheries Development Program - a program to mitigate for fishery losses caused by hydroelectric system development. The goal of the hatchery is to produce lower river fall chinook, spring chinook, and coho that will contribute to NE Pacific and Columbia River Basin commercial and sport fisheries.

The Kalama Hatchery - Spring Chinook program was in general compliance with most of the performance measures.

In the area of program objectives, the hatchery was not meeting its pre-spawning survival goal and needed to document its adult contribution and smolt-to-adult survival. The audit found that the hatchery was not in compliance with the screen mesh criteria, predation control facilities, turbidity criteria, water quality monitoring requirements, and pathology-free water criteria, which are all facilities requirements. The hatchery needed to develop specific incubation and rearing standards for the IHOT Operations Plan. The hatchery was not meeting the flow and loading criteria for incubation. The hatchery needed to develop a monitoring plan. The hatchery also was not meeting all the food storage, transportation and alarm requirements. In the compliance area for fish health policy, the hatchery did have foot baths and was not following all the sanitation protocols. The hatchery needed to develop a broodstock collection plan, spawning protocols, and a Genetics Monitoring and Evaluation Program.

The specific areas in which the Kalama Hatchery - Spring Chinook program requires remedial actions based on the IHOT performance measures are listed below. These remedial actions are listed in alphabetical order without intent of ranking or otherwise assigning priority:

- Chill 45 gpm of water for incubation by 7°F
- Chill 700 gpm of water for adult holding by 10 15 °F
- Conduct IHOT QA/QC tests for feed preparation
- Construct settling pond for 1,000 gpm
- Develop approved genetics M&E plan
- Develop specific incubation and rearing standards for the IHOT Operations Plan
- Develop written broodstock collection plan
- Develop written spawning protocols
- Follow IHOT loading and flow criteria for incubation or revise
- Follow IHOT protocols for disinfection of other equipment
- Follow IHOT protocols for disinfection of transport tank interiors
- Follow IHOT protocols for disinfection of transport vehicle interiors and exteriors
- Follow IHOT protocols for not leaving buckets of feed or feed containers exposed to

light or heat

- Follow IHOT temperature criteria for hauling
- Install alarms on microscreens
- Install bird screens over rearing area (34,000 sf)
- Install foot baths
- Install security alarms
- Install telephone pagers
- Monitor and record DO and TGP
- Replace intake screen material
- Run analysis for water quality parameters, alkalinity, hardness, nitrite, and contaminants
- Sanitize equipment used to collect dead fish prior to its use in another pond and/or lot of fish
- Sanitize rearing vessels after fish are removed and prior to introducing a new lot of fish or stock

Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human control or Performance Measures not relevant to this hatchery (Type 1 in Table 3, Section 4 of this report) were not listed above.

Facility Description

Name: Kalama Hatchery

Stock/Species: Spring Chinook

Fall Chinook Coho (Type N)

Operating Agency: Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife

Funding Agency: Mitchell Act (NMFS)

Location: The hatchery is located along the Kalama River at about river mile 10.

The nearest town is Kalama, Washington located approximately 12

miles south of the hatchery.

Address: Box 3900 Kalama River Road

Kalama, WA 98625

Hatchery Manager: Mark Johnson

Phone: (360) 673-4825 **Fax:** (360) 673-4827

Purpose: The hatchery was authorized under the Mitchell Act and began

operation in 1958 as part of the Columbia River Fisheries Development

Program - a program to mitigate for fishery losses caused by hydroelectric system development. The goal of the hatchery is to produce lower river fall chinook, spring chinook, and coho that will contribute to NE Pacific and Columbia River Basin commercial and

sport fisheries.

Production Goal: Spring Chinook

Produce 550,000 yearlings for the Fallert Creek Hatchery, (formerly

called Lower Kalama), for extended rearing and release.

Pass 400 adult males upstream

Fall Chinook

Produce 3,500,000 subyearlings for on-station release

Provide eggs/fish to other facilities

Pass 250 adult males upstream

Coho (Type N)

Produce 900,000 yearlings for on-station release

Water Supply: Water rights total 8,055 gpm from four sources: Kalama River, two

unnamed creeks and a well (domestic water). The majority of water is

supplied from the Kalama River with the two unnamed creeks

providing seasonal water.

Facilities:

Adult Holding: 6 adult holding ponds (also used for rearing) - 12,000 cf each

Incubation: 60 16-tray vertical stack incubators - 960 trays

Early Rearing: None

Raceways: 12 raceways - 5,600 cf each

Rearing Ponds: 6 rearing ponds (also used for adult holding) - 12,000 cf each

Satellite Facilities: None

Compliance Status

The hatchery audits are based on compliance with written IHOT performance measures. These performance measures are documented in *Policies and Procedures for Columbia Basin Anadromous Salmonid Hatcheries* (referred to as *IHOT 1995* in this report). The purpose of the performance measures is to implement new basinwide policies that provide regional guidelines for operating anadromous hatcheries in the Columbia Basin.

The audit focuses on performance measures for IHOT policies that cover (1) hatchery performance standards, (2) fish health, (3) ecological interaction, and (4) genetics. These performance measures are intended to guide hatchery operations once production is established. For that reason, the hatchery operations audit included broodstock collection, spawning, incubation of eggs, fish rearing and feeding, fish release, equipment maintenance and operations, and personnel training. Production priorities are beyond the scope of this audit.

Based on *IHOT 1995*, a detailed 109-page audit form was developed. The audit form divided the performance measures into six major sections along major program and technical criteria areas. Two additional sections (sections 1 and 8) include general information and expenditure information needed for this Hatchery Evaluation Report and blank forms for additional comments. The following is the basic structure of the IHOT audit form:

Section 1	Performance Measures for General Information and Expenditure Information (PMs General 1-2)
Section 2	Performance Measures for Program Objectives (PMs 1-4)
Section 3	Performance Measures for Facility Requirements (PMs 5-15)
Section 4	Performance Measures for Hatchery Practices (PMs 16-25)
Section 5	Performance Measures for Fish Health Policy (PMs 26-34)
Section 6	Performance Measures for Ecological Interactions (PMs 35-38)
Section 7	Performance Measures for Genetics Policy (PMs 39-43)
Section 8	Blank Forms for Additional Comments.

Several performance measures are repeated in various sections of the audit form. These performance measures overlap in *IHOT 1995* and were retained to allow individuals interested in specific portions of the audit (such as Genetics or Fish Health) to determine the compliance status of all performance measures for a given topic in one location. A repeated performance measure is indicated by shaded text.

The Hatchery Audit Process

The hatchery audit will be conducted over a 2-year period that concludes in 1997. At each hatchery, a five-step process was used to complete the overall hatchery audit

¹Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT) 1995. *Policies and Procedures for Columbia Basin Anadromous Salmonid Hatcheries*, Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, Oregon.

This process consisted of research and onsite visits. The site visit at the Kalama Hatchery was conducted on March 12, 1997.

The following is the five-step audit process:

- 1. Information was obtained from headquarters.
- 2. The hatchery manager was asked to fill out and return the **Audit Form**.
- 3. A 1-2 day site audit visit was conducted at each hatchery. During that visit an audit team inspected facilities, reviewed hatchery records, discussed audit form responses, and developed remedial action plans when appropriate.
- 4. During the site visit, the compliance status of each performance measure was discussed with the hatchery manager and IHOT representative. A portion of the Hatchery Evaluation Report was sent to the hatchery manager following the audit visit as a **Compliance Report**. That Compliance Report is Table 2 of this report.
- 5. Information from steps 1-4 was used to prepare a draft **Hatchery Evaluation Report**. This draft report was submitted to the operating agencies for review of the information used to determine compliance. Based on review and comments, a final Hatchery Evaluation Report was developed. The final report documents the compliance of a particular hatchery with the IHOT performance measures and presents cost estimates to correct any deficiencies.

Compliance Status of Kalama Hatchery - Spring Chinook

The following table includes information on life-stages that are held on this facility for some portion of their rearing cycle (Table 1). For multi-facility programs, summary cost and contribution data is presented at the facility where rearing occurs. For the compliance status relating to performance measures that do not occur at this hatchery, please refer to the Hatchery Evaluation Reports for the hatcheries and stocks listed in Table 1. A check mark (\checkmark) indicates that the specific life-stage is held at this facility.

This section documents the compliance status of the Kalama Hatchery - Spring Chinook program. Each performance measure is presented in a table taken from the audit form (Table 2). The compliance status is identified by the following categories:

- N/A (not applicable)
- Yes (in compliance)
- ? (unknown; generally due to unavailability of information to determine compliance)
- **No** (not in compliance).

Remedial actions are suggested for performance measures not in compliance. These remedial actions are grouped into categories and listed in Section 4 of this report, where the cost of the required remedial actions is also presented.

Table 1 Summary Program Information for Kalama Hatchery - Spring Chinook

Component		Location	of Adult Holding, Sp	pawning, Incubation,	and Rearing	
	Kalama Hatchery	Fallert Creek Hatchery				
Adult Collection	✓					
Adult Holding	✓					
Spawning	✓					
Fertilization	✓					
Incubation	~					
green-to-eyed	✓					
eyed-to-hatch	✓					
Rearing	~					
fry	✓					
fingerlings	~					
smolts		~				
Acclimation/release		~				

Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	ice Stati	18	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
the hatchery programs outlined in a subbasin nagement plan?		~			Columbia Basin System Planning Production Plan and Mitchell Act	
ne hatchery operating under a current hatchery rational plan?		~			IHOT Operations Plan	
s it understood by staff?		~				
s it being followed?		~				
hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan in place?						
Oo you have a written monitoring and evaluation plan?		~			CWT and Missing Production Groups Report	
ılt contribution to fisheries, spawning grounds, and chery	/				Reported at Fallert Creek Hatchery	
alt pre-spawning survival as compared with blished goal				~	Review of records; in compliance 2 out of last 3 years	Chill 700 gpm by 10-15°F
-take as compared with established hatchery goal		~			Review of records; in compliance 3 out of last 3 years	
en-egg to eyed-egg survival as compared with blished goal		~			Review of records; in compliance 3 out of last 3 years	
d-egg to fry survival as compared with established		~			Review of records; in compliance 3 out of last 3 years	
to smolt survival as compared with established goal	~				Shipped to Fallert Creek	
duction as compared with established goal	~				Shipped to Fallert Creek	
cent survival (smolt to adult) as compared with blished goal	~				Reported at Fallert Creek Hatchery	
nber of eggs, fry, fingerlings, smolts, and/or adults neet basinwide needs	~				Review of records/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	ice Statu	ıs	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		Compnance
perature						
Ooes your water temperature meet the criteria for pawning?				~	Review of records/Discussion	Chill 700 gpm by 10 -15°F
oes your water temperature meet the criteria for acubation?				•	Review of records/Discussion	Chill 45 gpm by 7 °F
Ooes your water temperature meet the criteria for earing?				~	Heat 900 gpm by 12 °F	None
solved gases						
s the oxygen level near saturation?			~		No data	Monitor DO and record
s the dissolved nitrogen level less than saturation?			~		See above	Monitor TGP and record
emistry						
ammonia (un-ionized) Carbon Dioxide Chlorine H Copper Iydrogen Sulfide)))))		No data See above See above See above See above See above	Run analysis See above See above See above See above See above See above
on inc			V		See above See above	See above See above
bidity						
Ooes your turbidity meet the criteria?				~	Review of records/Discussion	Construct settling basin for 1,000 gpm

Description of Performance Measure	(Complia	nce Statu	18	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	1 -	•
alinity and hardness						
oes your alkalinity and hardness meet the criteria?			~		No data	Run analysis
ite						
oes your nitrite meet the criteria?			~		No data	Run analysis
Contaminants						
Idrin Indrin Dieldrin Ieptachlor Ihlordane Iethoxychlor Indane Ialathion Iuthion			***********		No data See above	Run analysis See above
nogens						
What portions of the hatchery have disease-free water?						
Adult holding Incubation Early rearing Rearing Others				>>>>	Inspection of facilities/Discussion Inspection of facilities/Discussion Inspection of facilities/Discussion Inspection of facilities/Discussion Inspection of facilities/Discussion	None See above See above See above See above

Description of Performance Measure	(Complian	ice Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance	
	N/A	Yes	?	No	1	•	
rm Systems							
Oo the following areas have alarms?							
Intake Large rearing ponds and adult holding ponds Raceway headboxes and rearing ponds Incubation facilities Quarantine areas and facilities Water treatment systems Security	~	****		\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	Inspection of facilities/Discussion Inspection of facilities/Discussion Inspection of facilities/Discussion Inspection of facilities/Discussion No quarantine areas and facilities Inspection of facilities/Discussion Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Install alarm on microscreens Install security alarms	
are there outside systems and buzzers in onsite esidences?		~			Discussion		
are water flow alarms checked daily?		~			Review of records/Discussion		
are all other alarms checked weekly?		~			Discussion		
s there a log of alarms for emergencies, tests, and naintenance requirements?		~			Review of records/Discussion		
are telephone pagers used?				~	Discussion	Install telephone pagers	
lt collection and holding facilities							
Oo you meet the adult holding criteria?		~			Review of records/Discussion		

Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	nce Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	1	•
abation facilities						
'ype 1: Vertical stack Oo you have an adequate number of units for the verall program?		•			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Type 2: Do you have an adequate number of units for the verall program?	~					
ring facilities						
ype 1: Raceways O you have an adequate number of units for the verall program?		•			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Type 2: Rearing ponds Oo you have an adequate number of units for the verall program?	~				Not used for this program	
'ype 3: Do you have an adequate number of units for the verall program?	~					
eening facilities						
Oo you meet the approach velocity criteria?		~			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
are the fish screens regularly cleaned?		~			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Ooes the screen mesh meet screen opening criteria?				~	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Replace intake screens
are rearing containers double screened for fish that hould not be released to adjacent water?		~			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
dator control facilities						
are your predation control facilities effective?				~	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Install bird screens over rearing (34,000 sf)

Description of Performance Measure		Compliar	nce Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	1	•
d storage facilities and quality control						
Poes the storage of dry/semi-moist/moist foods dry<12%; semi-moist 12-20%; moist >20% moisture) allow food manufacturer's recommendations?		•			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Ooes a regional quality control officer oversee roduction procedures and monitor:						
Verification by feed manufacturer that ingredients meet specifications?				•	Discussion	Conduct IHOT QA/QC tests for feed preparation
Ensure feed does not contain unwanted drugs or other additives?				•	Discussion	See above
Analyze ingredients contained in the final food product to ensure that feed specifications have been met?				•	Discussion	See above
are the foods stored and handled according to the ollowing criteria?						
Moist pellets should not exceed 10 °F at point of delivery.		~			Discussion	
Moist pellets should be removed from freezer just prior to feeding.		~			Discussion	
Do not leave buckets of feed or feed containers outside exposed to light or heat.				•	Discussion	Follow IHOT protocols for not leaving buckets of feed or feed containers
Open bags of feed should be fed within 1 to 2 days except when feeding small groups of fish.		~			Discussion	exposed to light or heat
Automatic feeder hoppers and bulk storage facilities should be insulated against excessive temperatures (80°F and above).	•				Not used	

Description of Performance Measure		Complia	ice Stati	us	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	- -	-
ease facilities						
On the release facilities ensure that fish are not ubjected to adverse conditions?		~			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
ution abatement facilities						
On the pollution abatement facilities meet all federal and state regulations (or good engineering practice)?		•			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
re pollution abatement facilities operated correctly?		~			Discussion	
nsportation facilities						
re the transport systems adequate to meet IHOT erformance measures for transportation practices?		~			Transferred by truck to Fallert Creek for rearing.	

Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	ice Stati	1S	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	7	
odstock selection practices						
s the donor selection process document attached? (PM 40a)	~				Existing program; does not apply	
Vas the donor selection outline followed in selecting ne hatchery broodstock? (PM #40b-c)	•				Existing program; does not apply	
wning practices						
Vere the appropriate number of spawners, male/female atios, and fertilization protocols used? (PM #42c-g)		•			Review of records/Discussion	
ıbation practices						
specific incubation standards listed in the hatchery rations plan?				~	Reviewed IHOT Operations Plan	Develop specific incubation standards for the IHOT Operations Plan
incubation practices written?				~	See above	See above
ibation Type 1: <u>Vertical stack</u> (see PM #8) you meet the loading and flow criteria?				~	Review of records/Discussion	Follow IHOT loading and flow criteria for incubation or revise
ibation Type 2: (see PM #8) you meet the loading and flow criteria?	~					

Description of Performance Measure	(Complian	ce Statı	us	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	P
ring practices						
specific rearing standards listed in the hatchery rations plan?				~	Review IHOT Hatchery Operations Plan	Develop specific rearing standards for the IHOT Operations Plan
rearing practices written?				~	See above	See above
tearing Unit Type 1: Raceways (see PM #9)						
Do you meet the density and DI criteria? Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?		'			Review of records/Discussion Review of records/Discussion	
tearing Unit Type 2: Rearing ponds see PM #9) Do you meet the density and DI criteria? Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \				Not used for this program See above	
tearing Unit Type 3: (see PM #9)						
Do you meet the density and DI criteria? Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?	<i>y</i>					
olt quality						
Do you produce a high quality smolt?	~				Final rearing and release at Fallert Creek	

Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	ice Stati	1S	Basis for Compliance or R Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		•
health management practices						
re the monthly hatchery monitoring visits being onducted? (PM #26)		~			Review of records/Discussion	
re the annual broodstock inspections being conducted? M #27)		~			Review of records/Discussion	
there pathogen-free water (PM #5h)and are the nitation procedures being followed? (PM #28)				~	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5h
re the following water quality parameters within iteria? (PM #5a-5g)						
Water temperature Dissolved gases Chemistry Turbidity Alkalinity and hardness Nitrite Contaminants			***	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5a See PM #5b See PM #5c See PM #5d See PM #5e See PM #5f See PM #5f
re rearing standards being followed? (PM #19)		~			Review of records/Discussion	
re egg and fish transfer/release requirements met? M #31)		~			Review of records/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	ice Stati	us	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		•
s hatchery performance meet requirements ined in the regional hatchery policies and in basin and hatchery plans for the following areas?						
cent smoltification No you measure percent smoltification? No you have a smoltification goal Did you meet the smoltification criteria?	<i>y y</i>				Discussion. Released at Fallert Creek.	
ring density (prior to release) Did you meet the rearing density criteria just prior to elease?	•				Released at Fallert Creek	
ease condition (at release)						
Pid you meet all disease regulations just prior to elease?	~				Released at Fallert Creek	
nber (at release)						
Did you meet the release number goal?	<i>v</i>				Released at Fallert Creek	
oid you meet the size goal?	V				Released at Fallert Creek	
es of release id you meet the release date goal?	~				Released at Fallert Creek	
ation of release						
Did you release the fish at the specified location? fish reared in the subbasin or acclimated in the basin?	<i>'</i>				Released at Fallert Creek	
re the fish reared in the subbasin? re the fish acclimated in the subbasin?	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \				Released at Fallert Creek Released at Fallert Creek	
ne release strategy appropriate for the program?	~				Released at Fallert Creek	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status			IS	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	1	•
nsportation facilities						
To transportation equipment and personnel receive isinfection before and after use?		~			Discussion	
s the fish tank interior disinfected using a solution of 00 ppm active chlorine for 30 minutes minimum or ormaldehyde gas generation method (relative humidity f 60% for 2 hrs)?				•	Discussion	Follow IHOT protocols for disinfection of transport tank interiors
Is the exterior of the fish transport vehicle disinfected using high pressure steam (115-130°C), high temperature acid, or with 200 ppm chlorine for 30 minutes?				•	Discussion	Follow IHOT protocols for disinfection of transport vehicle interiors and exteriors
s the fish transport vehicle (cab) disinfected using 600 pm quaternary ammonia compounds (1.5 ml of 50% tock solution/liter water)?				~	Discussion	See above
s other equipment disinfected including fish pumps, ets, egg sorters, waders, boots, rain gear, hoses and ther equipment using one of the following solutions?					Discussion	
200 ppm chlorine for 30 minutes				~	Use 100 ppm	Follow IHOT protocols for disinfection of
600 ppm quaternary ammonia compound for 30 minutes 200 ppm iodophor solution for 10 minutes						other equipment
To personnel wear protective garments when handling sh eggs or cultural water?		~			Discussion	
To the fish transport truck/chassis and tank/unit receive n inspection and service prior to the release season?		~			Discussion	
s a daily service inspection completed before starting p and leaving for the day?		~			See above	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status			ıs	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
nsportation facilities						
Does the fish transport unit receive an inspection prior bloading?		~			Discussion	
Does a pre-loading inspection covering tank water evel, pumps or aerators, oxygen injection system ettings, displacement gauge, and truck loading/hauling ensity tables checked and reviewed occur prior to pading fish in the transport unit?		~			Discussion	
On hauling criteria include checking the fish 45 minutes of 1 hour after loading?	~				Trip less than 45 minutes	
When fish are active and systems are functioning roperly, is the oxygen concentration reduced and naintained at approximately 8 ppm?		~			Discussion	
water temperature in the transportation unit naintained within the 42-48 °F range?				•	Discussion	Follow IHOT temperature criteria for hauling
To fish releasing procedures include the following riteria?					Discussion	
Releasing the fish at the correct release site or into the correct water body.		~			Discussion	
Tempering or the difference between the liberation tank and the target water body should not exceed 10°F.		~			Discussion	
The liberation hose should be angled so that fish gently hit the water. Using a tripod is a method of ensuring the hose will stay at the proper angle.		~			Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure		Complian	ice Statu	ıs	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	<u>,</u>	•
luation practices						
as the hatchery conducted fishery contribution studies :						
Determine the requirements for evaluating and improving management programs?	~				At Fallert Creek.	
Develop guidelines that define the geographical area and identify component stocks (hatchery and/or wild) that comprise the management unit?	V				At Fallert Creek.	
Develop guidelines that define if the proper stocks of fish are currently being used?	~				At Fallert Creek.	
Determine which management units contribute to a specific fishery and the time periods of those contributions?	•				At Fallert Creek.	
Determine the relative contributions of the various management units to a specific fishery over the different time periods?	•				At Fallert Creek.	

Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	ice Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	1	_
ning practices						
Does the hatchery have a training schedule for its staff?		~			Review of records/Discussion	
Does each staff member have a personal training plan approved by a supervisor and reviewed annually?		•			Review of records/Discussion	
Does the hatchery routinely exchange training details between other hatcheries and agencies?		~			Review of records/Discussion	
Does the hatchery encourage and reward off-duty training of staff?		~			Review of records/Discussion	
Does the hatchery conduct monthly staff meetings?		~			Review of records/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	ice Stati	ıs	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
monthly hatchery monitoring visits being ducted by a qualified fish health specialist as cribed below?						
Conduct visit at least monthly		~			Review of records/Discussion	
Ionitoring conducted by qualified fish health specialist		~			Review of records/Discussion	
xamine a representative sample of healthy and noribund fish from each lot.		~			Review of records/Discussion	
leview fish culture practices with hatchery manager.		~			Review of records/Discussion	
teport finding and results of necropsies on standard orm.		~			Review of records/Discussion	
lecommend appropriate drug or chemical treatment.		~			Review of records/Discussion	
ummarize fish health status or stock prior to release or ansfer to another facility.		•			Review of records/Discussion	
all of the functions of the hatchery yearly nitoring visits being completed as described below?						
annually examine each broodstock for the presence of eportable viral pathogens.		~			Review of records/Discussion	
annually screen each salmon broodstock for the resence of <i>Renibacterium salmoninarum</i> .		~			Review of records/Discussion	
Conduct inspection by or under the supervision of ualified fish health specialist.		~			Review of records/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	(Complian	ice Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
ne hatchery following accepted sanitation cedures?						
are there any sources of pathogen-free water, especially or incubation and early rearing?				•	Discussion	See PM #5h
are the hatchery sanitation procedures understood and eing followed as described below?						
Disinfect/water harden eggs in iodophor?		~			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Are foot baths containing disinfectant placed at the incubation facility's entrance and exit?				•	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Install foot baths
Is equipment and rain gear utilized in broodstock handling or spawning sanitized prior to its use elsewhere in the hatchery?		~			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Is equipment used to collect dead fish sanitized prior its use in another pond and/or lot of fish?				~	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Sanitize equipment used to collect dead fish prior to its use in another pond and/or lot of fish
Is equipment, including vehicles used to transfer fish between facilities, disinfected prior to use with any other fish lots or at any other location?		~			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Tot of fish
Are rearing vessels sanitized after fish are removed and prior to introducing a new fish lot or stock?				•	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Sanitize rearing vessels after fish are removed and prior to introducing a new
Are dead fish properly disposed of?		~			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	fish lot or stock

Description of Performance Measure	(Complian	ice Statu	1S	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		•
water quality parameters being followed?						
are the following water quality parameters within riteria? (PM #5a-5g)						
Water temperature Dissolved gases Chemistry Turbidity Alkalinity and hardness Nitrite Contaminants)))))	\rightarrow \tag{\tau}{\tau}	Review of records/Discussion Review of records/Discussion Review of records/Discussion Review of records/Discussion Review of records/Discussion Review of records/Discussion Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5a See PM #5b See PM #5c See PM #5d See PM #5e See PM #5f See PM #5f
io to PM #21						
incubation and rearing standards being followed? Are the incubation practices following the IHOT incubation criteria? (PM #18)				~	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #18
Are the rearing practices following the IHOT criteria? (PM #19) To to rearing practices PM #18-PM #19		~		<u> </u>	Review of records/Discussion	
egg and fish transfer/release requirements met?		~			Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status			IS	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
ne hatchery's program outlined in a subbasin		/			Columbia Basin System Planning	
nagement plan?					Production Plan and Mitchell Act	
				ī		
o to subbasin plan PM #1						
ne hatchery operating under a current hatchery		~			Review IHOT Operations Plan	
rational plan?						
o to operational plan PM #2						
hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan in place?		~			CWT and Missing Production Groups	
					Reports	
To to hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan PM #3						

Description of Performance Measure	(Complian	ice Stati	IS	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
the hatchery program meet requirements						
olished in the regional hatchery policies and						
asin planning documents in the following areas: es, stock, broodstock collection location,						
dstock numbers, broodstock collection strategy,						
spawning and egg-take protocols?						
oes the hatchery program meet the requirements for e following?						
Species protocols (PM #1)		~			Review of records/Discussion	
Stock protocols (PM #1)		~			Review of records/Discussion	
Broodstock collection location protocols (PM #41b for existing program; PM #39b for new program)		~			Review of records/Discussion	
Broodstock numbers protocols (PM #42c)		~			Review of records/Discussion	
Broodstock collection strategy protocols (PM #41b-d for existing program; PM 39b-f for new program)				~	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #41c
Spawning protocols (PM #42d-e)		~			Review of records/Discussion	
Egg-take protocols (PM #42f-g)		~			Review of records/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	(Complian	ce Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or Rem Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		Compliance
s the hatchery's performance meet requirements ined in the regional hatchery policies and in basin and hatchery plans for the following areas: cent smoltification, rearing density, disease dition, and the number, size date(s), and location of ase?						
ercent smoltification (PM #22a1)	~				Released at Fallert Creek.	
earing density (PM #22a2)	~				Released at Fallert Creek	
visease condition (PM #22a3)	~				Released at Fallert Creek	
Jumber at release (PM #22a4)	~				Released at Fallert Creek	
ize at release (PM #22a5)	~				Released at Fallert Creek	
ate of release (PM #22a6)	~				Released at Fallert Creek	
ocation of release (PM #22a7)	~				Released at Fallert Creek	
fish reared in the subbasin or acclimated in the basin?		~			Discussion	
PM #22b						
ne release strategy appropriate for the program? PM #22c					Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure		Compliar	ice Stati	us	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
		Yes	?	No	1	_
new programs, has a broodstock collection plan n developed?						
the broodstock collection plan written?	~				Existing Program; does not apply	
or a non-captive broodstock program:	•				Existing Program; does not apply	
Was an unbiased, representative sample collected?						
Was the recommended number of broodstock collected?	~				Existing Program; does not apply	
or a captive broodstock program:						
Were captive brood progeny excluded as donors for propagating the next generation of the captive broodstock program?	~				Existing Program; does not apply	
Were full-sib crosses avoided?	•				Existing Program; does not apply	
s the broodstock collection plan understood and being ollowed by staff?	•				Existing Program; does not apply	
a new program, was the donor selection outline owed in selecting the hatchery broodstock?						
s a donor selection plan written?	•				Existing Program; does not apply	
Vas the donor selection outline followed in selecting ne broodstock?	•				Existing Program; does not apply	
Vas the target stock recommended in the donor election process actually used?	•				Existing Program; does not apply	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance	
	N/A	Yes	?	No	_		
existing programs, were the broodstock collection cedures followed?							
s the broodstock collection plan written?				~	None provided	Develop written broodstock collection	
Poes the broodstock collection plan follow the uideline:						plan	
Was an unbiased, representative sample collected?		~			Discussion		
Was the recommended number of broodstock collected?				•	Discussion	Increase adult returns	
Were the broodstock collection procedures in hatchery operation plan understood and followed?		~			Discussion		

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance	
	N/A	Yes	?	No	1		
s the appropriate number of spawners, male/female os, and fertilization protocols used?							
are the spawning protocols written?				~	Guidelines provided; nothing specific to hatchery	Develop written spawning protocols	
are daily or weekly spawning logs available?		~			Review of records		
Vas the appropriate number of spawners used?		~		<u> </u> 	Discussion		
Did you attempt to spawn all collected broodstock and andomize mating with respect to age class, and other raits?		~			Discussion		
Vas the sex-ratio within the limits given in the erformance standards?		~			Discussion		
Vere the fertilization protocols followed?		~			Discussion		
the hatchery needed to reduce the number of eggs etained, was this done by representative sampling of ach male/female cross?		~			Discussion		

Description of Performance Measure	Measure Compliance Status		IS	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance	
	N/A	Yes	?	No		_
nere a genetics monitoring and evaluation program lace?						
s a genetics monitoring and evaluation program vailable?				~	Guidelines provided; nothing specific to hatchery	Develop approved genetics M&E plan
Ooes the plan address the following elements listed in HOT:						
Does the program have elements needed to meet evaluation goals 1-4?				~	See above	See above
Has a qualified geneticist reviewed and endorsed the program (goal 5)?				~	See above	See above
Will the program collect the data and maintain the records needed to evaluate compliance on an ongoing basis (goal 5)?				•	See above	See above
Is the program understood and followed by staff?				~	See above	See above

Remedial Actions

Based on the compliance status for each performance measure, remedial actions were developed. The required remedial actions are organized into five categories. The types of categories range across a spectrum from those actions that are beyond human control, to those that require a change in agency policy or procedures, to those that involve a significant capital cost to put in place. The following are the five types of remedial actions identified under phase 1 of the audit:

The Five Types of Remedial Actions

	71
Туре	Description
1	Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human control or Performance Measures not relevant for this hatchery
2	Remedial actions requiring changes in agency policies or procedures
3	Remedial actions requiring changes in monitoring coverage or interval
4	Remedial actions requiring significant capital expenditures
5	Remedial actions that may require significant capital expenditures but are not clearly definable at this time

Remedial Actions at Kalama Hatchery - Spring Chinook

This section presents the corrective actions required to bring the Kalama Hatchery - Spring Chinook program into compliance with IHOT performance measures. The remedial actions suggested here are just that, <u>suggestions</u> developed by the Montgomery Watson Audit Team. For some non-compliance areas, other remedial actions could be proposed. The required remedial actions are cross-referenced to each IHOT performance measure that was not in compliance. Where appropriate, the costs associated with the remedial actions are also presented (Table 3).

The cost estimates presented in this section are based on professional experience from similar projects. In most cases, only a lump-sum figure is presented, and detailed take-off lists have not been prepared. The cost estimates are essentially order of magnitude estimates (\pm 40%).

More importantly, the suggested remedial activities may also present several levels of action. Optional actions have been listed for several problems. These optional actions are desirable for either operational or safety considerations.

Table 3. Remedial Actions Required at Kalama Hatchery - Spring Chinook

Remedial Action Required	Cost	PMs ¹
Type 1 - Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human control or Performance Measures not relevant for this hatchery		
None		
Type 2 - Remedial actions requiring changes in agency policies or procedures		
Conduct IHOT QA/QC tests for feed preparation		12
Follow IHOT protocols for not leaving buckets of feed or feed containers exposed to light or heat		12
Develop specific incubation and rearing standards for the IHOT Operations Plan		18-19
Follow IHOT loading and flow criteria for incubation or revise		18
Follow IHOT protocols for disinfection of transport tank interiors		23
Follow IHOT protocols for disinfection of transport vehicle interiors and exteriors		23
Follow IHOT protocols for disinfection of other equipment		23
Follow IHOT temperature criteria for hauling		23
Install foot baths		28
Sanitize equipment used to collect dead fish prior to its use in another pond and/or lot of fish		28
Sanitize rearing vessels after fish are removed and prior to introducing a new lot of fish or stock		28
Develop written broodstock collection plan		41
Develop written spawning protocols		42
Develop approved genetics M&E plan		43

_

¹ PMs are performance measures that were extracted from the IHOT 1995 report. The IHOT performance measures are listed in Table 2 (Section 3 of this report) in numerical order.

Remedial Action Required	Cost	PMs ¹
Type 3 - Remedial actions requiring changes in monitoring coverage or interval		
Monitor and record DO and TGP		5b
Run analysis for water quality parameters, alkalinity, hardness, nitrite, and contaminants		5c, 5e-5g
Type 4 - Remedial actions requiring significant capital expenditures		
Chill 700 gpm of water for adult holding by 10 - 15 °F	\$880,000	4b, 5a
Chill 45 gpm of water for incubation by 7 °F	\$20,000	5a
Construct settling pond for 1,000 gpm	\$400,000	4d, 5d
Install alarms on microscreen	\$5,000	6
Install security alarms	\$10,000	6
Install telephone pagers	\$5,000	6
Replace intake screen material	\$3,600	10
Install bird screens over rearing area (34,000 sf)	\$51,000	11
Type 5 - Remedial actions that may require significant capital expenditures but are not clearly definable at this time		
None		

¹ PMs are performance measures that were extracted from the IHOT 1995 report. The IHOT performance measures are listed in Table 2 (Section 3 of this report) in numerical order.

Hatchery Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds, and Hatcheries

This section presents the audit findings for the Kalama Hatchery - Spring Chinook program contribution of adult fish to fisheries, local fisheries, spawning grounds, and hatcheries. Data is reported by broodyear. A broodyear refers to the adult contribution from the eggs produced from a single group of spawning adults. For some species, this may include fish caught as 2-, 3-, 4-, 5-, and 6-year old fish. Because of the return distribution and data processing delays, the complete adult contribution for a given broodyear may not be available until 4 to 5 years after the fish have been released from the hatchery.

Table 4. Adult Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds, and Hatcheries: Kalama Hatchery - Spring Chinook

Year	Fisheries ¹	Spawning Grounds	Hatchery ¹	Total Combined Contribution ²	Smolt to Adult Survival (percent)	
	(Broodyear)	(Broodyear)	(Broodyear)	(Broodyear)		
1983						
1984						
1985						
1986						
1987	Reported at Fallert Creek Hatchery	Reported at Fallert Creek Hatchery				
1988	Reported at Fallert Creek Hatchery	Reported at Fallert Creek Hatchery				
1989	Reported at Fallert Creek Hatchery	Reported at Fallert Creek Hatchery				
1990	Reported at Fallert Creek Hatchery	Reported at Fallert Creek Hatchery				
1991						
1992						

¹ Data obtained from Missing Production Groups Annual Report or from the Regional Mark Information System database.

² Total combined adult contribution; presented when it is not possible to subdivide the contribution into fisheries, spawning grounds, and hatchery contributions.

Annual Operating Expenditures

The level and detail of annual operating expenditures varies widely depending on hatchery, operating agency, and funding source. When provided, expenditures were presented in terms of personnel costs, operating costs (power, feed, supplies), capital costs, indirect costs charged to the federal government, third-party costs, and other costs. These cost components were summed to determine a total hatchery annual cost. Based on discussion with the hatchery manager, the percent of total hatchery costs allocated to a given program was estimated. The total hatchery costs and the percent of hatchery costs allocated to a given program were used to compute the cost of a given program. Table 5 shows the annual operating expenses for the Kalama Hatchery - Spring Chinook program. For programs that occur at more than one facility (as shown on Table 1 in Section 3 of this report), the cost breakdown for the component(s) at each facility is presented in separate tables (Table 5a).

Table 5. Annual Operating Expenses: Kalama Hatchery - Spring Chinook

Hatchery	1991	1992	1993
Kalama Hatchery	\$22,870	\$11,789	\$9,059
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
Total Program Costs	\$22,870	\$11,789	\$9,059

The total expenditures for the Kalama Hatchery are presented in Table 6 by program. The detailed breakdown of program expenditures at this hatchery are presented in separate tables (Tables 6a, 6b, and 6c).

Table 6. Annual Operating Expenses - Kalama Hatchery

Program	1991	1992	1993
Spring Chinook	\$22,870	\$11,789	\$9,059
2. Fall Chinook	\$256,713	\$203,355	\$218,045
3. Coho (Type N)	\$245,850	\$299,629	\$280,344
4.			
5.			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$525,432	\$514,772	\$507,448

Table 5a. Annual Operating Expenses: Kalama Hatchery - Spring Chinook

Expenditure Occurring at Kalama Hatchery

Component	1991	1992	1993
Personnel Costs			
Operational Costs			
Capital Costs			
Indirect Costs			
Lumped Hatchery Costs ¹			
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$525,432	\$514,772	\$507,448
Source of Funds			
NMFS	100%	100%	100%
Program Production (lb)	4,000	2,400	1,745
Total Production (lb)	91,900	104,800	97,745
Program as Percent of Total	4.35%	2.29%	1.79%
Program Costs	\$22,870	\$11,789	\$9,059

¹ When it was not possible to obtain a detailed cost breakdown from an agency or third party, the undivided costs were entered here.

Table 6a. Detailed Expenditures at Kalama Hatchery by Program Spring Chinook

Component	1991	1992	1993
Personnel Costs			
Operational Costs			
Capital Costs			
Indirect Costs			
Lumped Hatchery Costs ¹			
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$525,432	\$514,772	\$507,448
Source of Funds			
NMFS	100%	100%	100%
Program Production (lb)	4,000	2,400	1,745
Total Production (lb)	91,900	104,800	97,745
Program as Percent of Total	4.35%	2.29%	1.79%
Program Costs	\$22,870	\$11,789	\$9,059

¹ When it was not possible to obtain a detailed cost breakdown from an agency or third party, the undivided costs were entered here.

Table 6b. Detailed Expenditures at Kalama Hatchery by Program Fall Chinook

Component	1991	1992	1993
Personnel Costs			
Operational Costs			
Capital Costs			
Indirect Costs			
Lumped Hatchery Costs ¹			
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$525,432	\$514,772	\$507,448
Source of Funds			
NMFS	100%	100%	100%
Program Production (lb)	44,900	41400	42,000
Total Production (lb)	91,900	104,800	97,745
Program as Percent of Total	48.9%	39.5%	43.0%
Program Costs	\$256,713	\$203,355	\$218,045

¹ When it was not possible to obtain a detailed cost breakdown from an agency or third party, the undivided costs were entered here.

Table 6c. Detailed Expenditures at Kalama Hatchery by Program

Coho (Type N)

Component	1991	1992	1993
Personnel Costs			
Operational Costs			
Capital Costs			
Indirect Costs			
Lumped Hatchery Costs ¹			
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$525,432	\$514,772	\$507,448
Source of Funds			
NMFS	100%	100%	100%
Program Production (lb)	43,000	61,000	54,000
Total Production (lb)	91,900	104,800	97,745
Program as Percent of Total	46.8%	58.2%	55.2%
Program Costs	\$245,850	\$299,629	\$280,344

¹ When it was not possible to obtain a detailed cost breakdown from an agency or third party, the undivided costs were entered here.